Spatial regression models over two-dimensional Riemannian manifolds

Bree Ettinger Joint Work with Simona Perotto and Laura Sangalli

September 12, 2012



Modeling wall shear stress



- Wall shear stress modulus at the systolic peak on real inner carotid artery geometry affected by aneurysm
- data obtained by CFD, courtesy of the AneuRisk project
 - Passerini, 2009, PhD Thesis, Politecnico di Milano
 - http://mox.polimi.it/it/progetti/aneurisk/





Angular flattening map



A new coordinate system is defined by (s, r, θ)

- s is the curvilinear abscissa along the artery centerline
- θ the angle of the surface point with respect to the artery centerline.
- r the artery radius

The domain is then reduced to the plane $(s, \theta * \bar{r})$



Angular flattening map issues



- 1. important factors of the parent vasculature are ignored
 - the curvature
 - the radius
- 2. the aneurysm must be removed



Current smoothing methods for surface domains

1. Nearest Neighbor Averaging (Hagler et al., 2006)

simple

2. Heat Kernel Smoothing (Chung et al., 2005)

inference



• Data locations:

$$\{\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{1i}, x_{2i}, x_{3i}); i = 1, \dots, n\}$$
 on a surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$

• The model: $z_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i) + \epsilon_i$

 ϵ_i are i.i.d. errors with $E[\epsilon_i] = 0$ and $Var(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2$

f is a twice continuously differentiable real-valued function

• The estimate:

$$J_{\lambda}(f(\mathbf{x})) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i))^2 + \lambda \int_{\Sigma} (\Delta_{\Sigma} f(\mathbf{x}))^2 d\Sigma$$

 Δ_Σ - Laplace-Beltrami operator for functions on the surface Σ



• Data locations:

$$\{\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{1i}, x_{2i}, x_{3i}); i = 1, \dots, n\}$$
 on a surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$

• The model: $z_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i) + \epsilon_i$ or $z_i = \mathbf{w}'_i \beta + f(\mathbf{x}_i) + \epsilon_i$

 ϵ_i are i.i.d. errors with $E[\epsilon_i] = 0$ and $Var(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2$ f is a twice continuously differentiable real-valued function $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^q$ is the vector of regression coefficients $\mathbf{w}_i = (w_{i1}, \dots, w_{iq})$ is a *q*-vector of covariates

• The estimate:

$$J_{\lambda}(f(\mathbf{x})) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(z_i - \mathbf{w}'_i \beta - f(\mathbf{x}_i) \right)^2 + \lambda \int_{\Sigma} \left(\Delta_{\Sigma} f(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 \, d\Sigma$$

 Δ_Σ - Laplace-Beltrami operator for functions on the surface Σ



• Data locations:

$$\{\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{1i}, x_{2i}, x_{3i}); i = 1, \dots, n\}$$
 on a surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$

• The model: $z_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i) + \epsilon_i$

 ϵ_i are i.i.d. errors with $E[\epsilon_i] = 0$ and $Var(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2$

f is a twice continuously differentiable real-valued function

• The estimate:

$$J_{\lambda}(f(\mathbf{x})) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i))^2 + \lambda \int_{\Sigma} (\Delta_{\Sigma} f(\mathbf{x}))^2 d\Sigma$$

 Δ_Σ - Laplace-Beltrami operator for functions on the surface Σ

















MODELING AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING

We define a map X such that

$$X: \Omega \to \Sigma$$
$$\mathbf{u} = (u, v) \mapsto \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

where Ω is an open, convex and bounded set in \mathbb{R}^2 .



We define a map X such that

$$X: \Omega \to \Sigma$$
$$\mathbf{u} = (u, v) \mapsto \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

where Ω is an open, convex and bounded set in \mathbb{R}^2 .

 X_u and X_v - column vectors of first order partial derivatives of X with respect to u and v.



We define a map X such that

$$X: \Omega \to \Sigma$$
$$\mathbf{u} = (u, v) \mapsto \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

where Ω is an open, convex and bounded set in \mathbb{R}^2 .

 X_u and X_v - column vectors of first order partial derivatives of X with respect to u and v.

For the map X to be conformal:

1.
$$||X_u|| = ||X_v||$$

2. $\langle X_u, X_v \rangle = 0$











(Haker et al., [5])





(Haker et al., [5])





(Haker et al., [5])





(Haker et al., [5])





(Haker et al., [5])





(Haker et al., [5])





$$G := (\nabla X)' \nabla X = \begin{pmatrix} \|X_u\|^2 & \langle X_u, X_v \rangle \\ \langle X_v, X_u \rangle & \|X_v\|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$



$$G := (\nabla X)' \nabla X = \begin{pmatrix} \|X_u\|^2 & \langle X_u, X_v \rangle \\ \langle X_v, X_u \rangle & \|X_v\|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Keep in mind:

$$G(\mathbf{u}) := (\nabla X(\mathbf{u}))' \nabla X(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} \|X_u(\mathbf{u})\|^2 & \langle X_u(\mathbf{u}), X_v(\mathbf{u}) \rangle \\ \langle X_v(\mathbf{u}), X_u(\mathbf{u}) \rangle & \|X_v(\mathbf{u})\|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$G := (\nabla X)' \nabla X = \begin{pmatrix} \|X_u\|^2 & \langle X_u, X_v \rangle \\ \langle X_v, X_u \rangle & \|X_v\|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{W} = \sqrt{\det(G)} \implies \mathcal{W} d\Omega = d\Sigma$$



$$G := (\nabla X)' \nabla X = \begin{pmatrix} \|X_u\|^2 & \langle X_u, X_v \rangle \\ \langle X_v, X_u \rangle & \|X_v\|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{W} = \sqrt{\det(G)} \implies \mathcal{W} d\Omega = d\Sigma$$

For $(f \circ X) \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega)$:

$$\Delta_{\Sigma} f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{W}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \partial_i (a_{ij} \partial_j (f \circ X))$$

 a_{ij} are the components of the positive definite symmetric matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{W}G^{-1}$$



$$G := (\nabla X)' \nabla X = \begin{pmatrix} \|X_u\|^2 & \langle X_u, X_v \rangle \\ \langle X_v, X_u \rangle & \|X_v\|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{W} = \sqrt{\det(G)} \implies \mathcal{W} d\Omega = d\Sigma$$

For
$$(f \circ X) \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega)$$
:

$$\Delta_{\Sigma} f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{W}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \partial_{i} (a_{ij} \partial_{j} (f \circ X)) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{u})} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \partial_{i} (a_{ij} \partial_{j} f(X(\mathbf{u})))$$

 a_{ij} are the components of the positive definite symmetric matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{W}G^{-1}$$



Flattened model

Over the planar domain Ω :

$$J_{\lambda}(f \circ X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(z_i - f(X(\mathbf{u}_i)) \right)^2 + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{W}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \partial_i (a_{ij} \partial_j (f \circ X)) \right]^2 \mathcal{W} d\Omega$$

where $X(\mathbf{u}_i) = \mathbf{x}_i$.



Flattened model

Over the planar domain Ω :

$$J_{\lambda}(f \circ X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(z_i - f(X(\mathbf{u}_i)) \right)^2 + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{W}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \partial_i (a_{ij} \partial_j (f \circ X)) \right]^2 \mathcal{W} d\Omega$$

where $X(\mathbf{u}_i) = \mathbf{x}_i$.

Conformal coordinates:

$$J_{\lambda}(f \circ X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(z_i - f(X(\mathbf{u}_i)) \right)^2 + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{W}}} \,\Delta(f \circ X) \right)^2 d\Omega$$



Properties

Proposition 1 (Existence and uniqueness). The estimator $\hat{f} \circ X$ that minimizes $J_{\lambda}(f \circ X)$ over $H^2_{n0}(\Omega)$ satisfies the following relation

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}^{\prime}\mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}^{\prime}\mathbf{\hat{f}}_{n} + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{W}}\Delta(\mu \circ X)\right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{W}}\Delta(\hat{f} \circ X)\right) \mathcal{W}d\Omega$$

for all μ with $\mu \circ X \in H^2_{n0}(\Omega)$. Moreover, the estimate $\hat{f} \circ X$ is unique.

Reformulation in $H^1_{n0}(\Omega) \implies$ Finite Element Solution

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{n} - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\gamma \circ X) \nabla(\mu \circ X) \, d\Omega = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}$$
$$\int_{\Omega} (\xi \circ X) (\gamma \circ X) \mathcal{W} d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\xi \circ X) \nabla(\hat{f} \circ X) d\Omega = 0.$$



Inferential tools for the model:

- pointwise (simultaneous) confidence bands for f
- prediction intervals for new observations
- Generalized-Cross-Validation for the selection of λ



Test functions



50 test functions of the form: $f(x, y, z) = a_1 \sin(2\pi x) + a_2 \sin(2\pi y) + a_3 \sin(2\pi z) + 1$ Coefficients: a_1 , a_2 and a_3 randomly generated from i.i.d. N(1, 1).





$$z_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i) + \epsilon_i$$

$$\epsilon_i \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 0.5)$$



Simulations

- 1. SSR models for non-planar domains
- 2. angular map + SSR models for planar domains
- 3. Iterative heat kernel smoothing
- 4. angular map+ Multivariate kernel smoothing regression



SSR model for non-planar domains fit



MSE	Geometry 1	Geometry 2	Geometry 3
SSR over non-planar domains	0.0196(0.0157)	0.0712(0.0677)	0.0661(0.0491)
SSR over planar domains	0.0301(0.0160)	0.1623(0.1463)	0.0743(0.0512)
Iterative Heat Kernel Smoothing	0.0303(0.0448)	0.0625(0.0897)	0.1142(0.0748)
Multivariate Kernel Smoothing	0.0343(0.0313)	0.1290(0.1380)	0.0843(0.0357)



SSR model for non-planar domains fit



p-values	Geometry 1	Geometry 2	Geometry 3
SSR over non-planar vs. SSR over planar	4.965×10^{-10}	3.894×10^{-10}	3.395×10^{-4}
SSR over non-planar vs. Iterative Heat Kernel	1.542×10^{-9}	0.8101	3.1×10^{-10}
SSR over non-planar vs. Multivariate Kernel	3.895×10^{-10}	3.895×10^{-10}	4.449×10^{-8}



SSR model for non-planar domains fit



p-values	Geometry 1	Geometry 2	Geometry 3
SSR over non-planar vs. SSR over planar	4.965×10^{-10}	3.894×10^{-10}	3.395×10^{-4}
SSR over non-planar vs. Iterative Heat Kernel	1.542×10^{-9}	0.8101	3.1×10^{-10}
SSR over non-planar vs. Multivariate Kernel	3.895×10^{-10}	3.895×10^{-10}	4.449×10^{-8}

Iterative Heat Kernel vs. SSR over non-planar

p-value = 0.1925



Application to hemodynamic data







0. include covariates in the model

$$z_i = \mathbf{w}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} + f(x_i) + \epsilon_i$$



0. include covariates in the model

1. change penalty

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i))^2 + \lambda \int_{\Sigma} \mathsf{PDE}_{\Sigma} \ d\Sigma$$



0. include covariates in the model

- 1. change penalty
- 2. dynamic in time



Future projects

• Patient 1:



0. include covariates in the model

1. change penalty

• Patient 2:



- 2. dynamic in time
- 3. across patient variability



Grazie!

- [1] Chung, M.K., Robbins, S.M., Dalton, K.M., Davidson, R.J., Alexander, A.L., Evans, A.C. (2005), "Cortical thickness analysis in autism with heat kernel smoothing," *NeuroImage*, 25, 1256-1265.
- [2] B. Ettinger, S. Perotto and L.M. Sangalli. Regression models for data distributed over non-planar domains. Tech. rep. N. 22/2012,MOX, Dipartimento di Matematica "F.Brioschi," Politecnico di Milano, Available at http://mox.polimi.it/it/progetti/pubblicazioni. Submitted.
- [3] B. Ettinger, S. Perotto and L.M. Sangalli. Spatial regression models over two-dimensional non-planar domains. work in progress
- [4] Hagler, D. J., Saygin, A. P., and Sereno, M. I. (2006), "Smoothing and cluster thresholding for cortical surface-based group analysis of fMRI data," *NeuroImage*, 33, 1093-1103.
- [5] Haker, S., Angenent, S., Tannenbaum, A., Kikinis, R. (2000), "Nondistorting flattening maps and the 3-D visualization of colon CT images", *IEEE Trans. Med. Imag.*, 19, 665-670.
- [6] Passerini, T. (2009), "Computational hemodynamics of the cerebral circulation: multiscale modeling from the circle of Willis to cerebral aneurysms," PhD Thesis. Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano, Italy. Available at http://mathcs.emory.edu/.
- [7] Passerini, T., Sangalli, L.M., Vantini, S., Piccinelli, M., Bacigaluppi, S., Antiga, L., Boccardi, E., Secchi, P., and Veneziani, V. (2012), "An Integrated Statistical Investigation of Internal Carotid Arteries of Patients affected by Cerebral Aneurysms," *Cardiovascular Engineering and Technology*, Vol. 3, No.1, pp. 26-40.
- [8] Sangalli, L.M., Ramsay, J.O., and Ramsay, T.O. (2012), "Spatial Spline Regression Models," Tech. rep. N. 08/2012, MOX, Dipartimento di Matematica "F.Brioschi," Politecnico di Milano, Available at http://mox.polimi.it/it/progetti/pubblicazioni. Submitted

Acknowledgements Funding by MIUR *FIRB Futuro in Ricerca* research project "Advanced statistical and numerical methods for the analysis of high dimensional functional data in life sciences and engineering", and by the program Dote Ricercatore Politecnico di Milano - Regione Lombardia, research project "Functional data analysis for life sciences".

