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## Modeling wall shear stress



- Wall shear stress modulus at the systolic peak on real inner carotid artery geometry affected by aneurysm
- data obtained by CFD, courtesy of the AneuRisk project
- Passerini, 2009, PhD Thesis, Politecnico di Milano
- http://mox.polimi.it/it/progetti/aneurisk/


## Angular flattening map



A new coordinate system is defined by $(s, r, \theta)$
$s$ is the curvilinear abscissa along the artery centerline
$\theta$ the angle of the surface point with respect to the artery centerline.
$r$ the artery radius
The domain is then reduced to the plane $(s, \theta * \bar{r})$

## Angular flattening map issues



1. important factors of the parent vasculature are ignored

- the curvature
- the radius

2. the aneurysm must be removed

## Current smoothing methods for surface domains

1. Nearest Neighbor Averaging (Hagler et al., 2006)
simple
2. Heat Kernel Smoothing (Chung et al., 2005)
inference

## Spatial Spline Regression model for non-planar domains

- Data locations:

$$
\left\{\mathbf{x}_{i}=\left(x_{1 i}, x_{2 i}, x_{3 i}\right) ; i=1, \ldots, n\right\} \text { on a surface } \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

- The model: $z_{i}=f\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)+\epsilon_{i}$
$\epsilon_{i}$ are i.i.d. errors with $E\left[\epsilon_{i}\right]=0$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2}$
$f$ is a twice continuously differentiable real-valued function
- The estimate:

$$
J_{\lambda}(f(\mathbf{x}))=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(z_{i}-f\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\lambda \int_{\Sigma}\left(\Delta_{\Sigma} f(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2} d \Sigma
$$

$\Delta_{\Sigma}$ - Laplace-Beltrami operator for functions on the surface $\Sigma$
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\left\{\mathbf{x}_{i}=\left(x_{1 i}, x_{2 i}, x_{3 i}\right) ; i=1, \ldots, n\right\} \text { on a surface } \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}
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- The model: $z_{i}=f\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)+\epsilon_{i} \quad$ or $\quad z_{i}=\mathrm{w}_{i}^{\prime} \beta+f\left(\mathrm{x}_{i}\right)+\epsilon_{i}$
$\epsilon_{i}$ are i.i.d. errors with $E\left[\epsilon_{i}\right]=0$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2}$
$f$ is a twice continuously differentiable real-valued function
$\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$ is the vector of regression coefficients
$\mathbf{w}_{i}=\left(w_{i 1}, \ldots, w_{i q}\right)$ is a $q$-vector of covariates
- The estimate:
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$$

$\Delta_{\Sigma}$ - Laplace-Beltrami operator for functions on the surface $\Sigma$
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## The flattening map

We define a map $X$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X: \Omega & \rightarrow \Sigma \\
\mathbf{u} & =(u, v) \mapsto \mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Omega$ is an open, convex and bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
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where $\Omega$ is an open, convex and bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
$X_{u}$ and $X_{v}$ - column vectors of first order partial derivatives of $X$ with respect to $u$ and $v$.

For the map $X$ to be conformal:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. }\left\|X_{u}\right\|=\left\|X_{v}\right\| \\
& \text { 2. }\left\langle X_{u}, X_{v}\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Flattening method

(Haker et al., [5])

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{\Sigma} v=0 \text { on } \Sigma \\
v(\zeta)=\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} d s \text { on } B
\end{array}\right.
$$
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## Laplace-Beltrami operator

$$
G:=(\nabla X)^{\prime} \nabla X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left\|X_{u}\right\|^{2} & \left\langle X_{u}, X_{v}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle X_{v}, X_{u}\right\rangle & \left\|X_{v}\right\|^{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{11} & g_{12} \\
g_{21} & g_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$
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## Laplace-Beltrami operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G:=(\nabla X)^{\prime} \nabla X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left\|X_{u}\right\|^{2} & \left\langle X_{u}, X_{v}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle X_{v}, X_{u}\right\rangle & \left\|X_{v}\right\|^{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{11} & g_{12} \\
g_{21} & g_{22}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathcal{W}=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(G)} \Longrightarrow \mathcal{W} d \Omega=d \Sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

## Laplace-Beltrami operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G:=(\nabla X)^{\prime} \nabla X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left\|X_{u}\right\|^{2} & \left\langle X_{u}, X_{v}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle X_{v}, X_{u}\right\rangle & \left\|X_{v}\right\|^{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{11} & g_{12} \\
g_{21} & g_{22}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathcal{W}=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(G)} \Longrightarrow \mathcal{W} d \Omega=d \Sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

For $(f \circ X) \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\Delta_{\Sigma} f(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\mathcal{W}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \partial_{i}\left(a_{i j} \partial_{j}(f \circ X)\right)
$$

$a_{i j}$ are the components of the positive definite symmetric matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)=\mathcal{W} G^{-1}
$$

## Laplace-Beltrami operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G:=(\nabla X)^{\prime} \nabla X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left\|X_{u}\right\|^{2} & \left\langle X_{u}, X_{v}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle X_{v}, X_{u}\right\rangle & \left\|X_{v}\right\|^{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{11} & g_{12} \\
g_{21} & g_{22}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathcal{W}=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(G)} \Longrightarrow \mathcal{W} d \Omega=d \Sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

For $(f \circ X) \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\Omega)$ :

$$
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## Flattened model

Over the planar domain $\Omega$ :
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J_{\lambda}(f \circ X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(z_{i}-f\left(X\left(\mathbf{u}_{i}\right)\right)\right)^{2}+\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{W}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \partial_{i}\left(a_{i j} \partial_{j}(f \circ X)\right)\right]^{2} \mathcal{W} d \Omega
$$

where $X\left(\mathbf{u}_{i}\right)=\mathbf{x}_{i}$.
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## Conformal coordinates:

$$
J_{\lambda}(f \circ X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(z_{i}-f\left(X\left(\mathbf{u}_{i}\right)\right)\right)^{2}+\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{W}}} \Delta(f \circ X)\right)^{2} d \Omega
$$

## Properties

Proposition 1 (Existence and uniqueness). The estimator $\hat{f} \circ X$ that minimizes $J_{\lambda}(f \circ X)$ over $H_{n 0}^{2}(\Omega)$ satisfies the following relation

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{n}+\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{W}} \Delta(\mu \circ X)\right)\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{W}} \Delta(\hat{f} \circ X)\right) \mathcal{W} d \Omega
$$

for all $\mu$ with $\mu \circ X \in H_{n 0}^{2}(\Omega)$. Moreover, the estimate $\hat{f} \circ X$ is unique.

Reformulation in $H_{n 0}^{1}(\Omega) \Longrightarrow$ Finite Element Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{n}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\gamma \circ X) \nabla(\mu \circ X) d \Omega=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{z} \\
& \int_{\Omega}(\xi \circ X)(\gamma \circ X) \mathcal{W} d \Omega+\int_{\Omega} \nabla(\xi \circ X) \nabla(\hat{f} \circ X) d \Omega=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Uncertainty quantification

Inferential tools for the model:

- pointwise (simultaneous) confidence bands for $f$
- prediction intervals for new observations
- Generalized-Cross-Validation for the selection of $\lambda$


## Test functions


(1)

(2)

(3)

50 test functions of the form:

$$
f(x, y, z)=a_{1} \sin (2 \pi x)+a_{2} \sin (2 \pi y)+a_{3} \sin (2 \pi z)+1
$$

Coefficients: $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ randomly generated from i.i.d. $N(1,1)$.

## Noisy data



$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{i}=f\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)+\epsilon_{i} \\
\epsilon_{i} \stackrel{i . i . d .}{\sim} N(0,0.5)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Simulations

1. SSR models for non-planar domains
2. angular map + SSR models for planar domains
3. Iterative heat kernel smoothing
4. angular map+ Multivariate kernel smoothing regression

## SSR model for non-planar domains fit



| MSE | Geometry 1 | Geometry 2 | Geometry 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSR over non-planar domains | $0.0196(0.0157)$ | $0.0712(0.0677)$ | $0.0661(0.0491)$ |
| SSR over planar domains | $0.0301(0.0160)$ | $0.1623(0.1463)$ | $0.0743(0.0512)$ |
| Iterative Heat Kernel Smoothing | $0.0303(0.0448)$ | $0.0625(0.0897)$ | $0.1142(0.0748)$ |
| Multivariate Kernel Smoothing | $0.0343(0.0313)$ | $0.1290(0.1380)$ | $0.0843(0.0357)$ |

## SSR model for non-planar domains fit



| $p$-values | Geometry 1 | Geometry 2 | Geometry 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSR over non-planar vs. SSR over planar | $4.965 \times 10^{-10}$ | $3.894 \times 10^{-10}$ | $3.395 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| SSR over non-planar vs. Iterative Heat Kernel | $1.542 \times 10^{-9}$ | 0.8101 | $3.1 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| SSR over non-planar vs. Multivariate Kernel | $3.895 \times 10^{-10}$ | $3.895 \times 10^{-10}$ | $4.449 \times 10^{-8}$ |

## SSR model for non-planar domains fit
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## Application to hemodynamic data



## Future projects

0 . include covariates in the model

$$
z_{i}=\mathbf{w}_{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}+f\left(x_{i}\right)+\epsilon_{i}
$$

## Future projects

0 . include covariates in the model

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(z_{i}-f\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\lambda \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{PDE}_{\Sigma} d \Sigma
$$

1. change penalty

## Future projects

0 . include covariates in the model


1. change penalty
2. dynamic in time

## Future projects

- Patient 1 :

- Patient 2:


0 . include covariates in the model

1. change penalty
2. dynamic in time
3. across patient variability
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